

Time-Varying Fine-Structure Constant and Quantum SuperStrings

B.G. Sidharth*

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences
B.M. Birla Science Centre, Hyderabad 500 063 (India)

Abstract

In this note we observe that Webb and co-workers' observation of an evolving fine structure constant has since been reconfirmed. We also confirm Kuhne's contention that this implies a cosmological constant and exhibit a cosmological mechanism for Webb's result. We also see how this provides a mechanism to reach elementary particle scales from the Quantum Superstring scale.

Kuhne[1] suggested sometime ago that the then preliminary results of Webb et al[2] that there was a slow cosmic evolution of the fine structure constant α , could be explained in terms of a cosmology with a cosmological constant. Since then Webb and co-workers have reconfirmed their initial observations[3], and the acceleration of the universe, implying a cosmological constant has also been repeatedly confirmed[4]. In this note we confirm Kuhne's conclusion and provide a mechanism for the variation of α .

Kuhne derives the following equation,

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} = \alpha_z \frac{\dot{H}_z}{H_z}, \quad (1)$$

from a well known equation of Teller, where H is the Hubble constant, z denotes the value of the parameter t at the cosmological redshift z and the

*E-mail: birlasc@hd1.vsnl.net.in

dot represents the derivative with respect to time. He then compares (1) with the finding of Web and co-workers, viz.,

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} \approx -1 \times 10^{-5} H_z. \quad (2)$$

to exhibit the cosmological constant.

We consider the above results in the light of fluctuational cosmology[5, 6, 7]. In this cosmology, $\sqrt{N}$ particles are fluctuationally created, somewhat on the lines suggested by Hayakawa[8, 9]-and this happens within the minimum unphysical time interval, the Compton time τ . Such a cosmology is not only consistent with observation, but it also deduces from the theory the otherwise empirical Large Number Relations of Dirac as also the mysterious empirical Weinberg relation between the pion mass and the Hubble Constant. The cosmology also predicted an ever expanding and accelerating universe, as indeed was discovered shortly thereafter and reconfirmed since (Cf. also [10]). In this cosmological scheme, the cosmological constant Λ is given by,

$$\Lambda \approx \dot{H} \leq -0(H^2) \quad (3)$$

In what follows, equalities are approximate equalities in the order of magnitude sense. We now observe that substitution of (3) in (1) gives

$$\frac{\dot{\alpha}_z}{\alpha_z} = \beta H_z \quad (4)$$

where $\beta < -\alpha_z < -10^{-2}$.

It can immediately be seen that (4) is compatible with (2).

We finally give the derivation of (2) in the above context wherein, as the number of particles in the universe increases with time, we go from the Planck scale to the Compton scale. It is known that this Compton length, due to Zitterbewegung causes a correction to the electrostatic potential which an orbiting electron experiences, rather like the Darwin term[11].

Infact we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta V \rangle &= \langle V(\vec{r} + \delta \vec{r}) \rangle - V\langle \vec{r} \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \delta r_i \delta r_j \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} \rangle \\ &\approx 0(1) \delta r^2 \nabla^2 V \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Remembering that $V = e^2/r$ where $r \sim 10^{-8}cm$, from (5) it follows that if $\delta r \sim l$, the Compton wavelength then

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-5} \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta\alpha$ is the change in the fine structure constant from the early universe. (6) is an equivalent form of (2) (Cf.ref.[1]), and is the result originally obtained by Webb et al (Cf.refs.[2, 3]).

We now consider briefly Quantum Superstrings.

One of the criticisms levelled against Quantum Superstrings (QSS) Theory is that it is not verifiable, as it deals with Planck scale phenomena. Indeed 't Hooft has gone so far as to say that it is not even a theory[12]. The question is, are there any effects which can be observed at scales corresponding to elementary particles? For example could we go from QSS to the Compton scale? We will now discuss briefly, exactly such a mechanism.

As is well known, at the scale of QSS we have a non commutative geometry [13, 14],

$$[x, y] \approx 0(l^2), [x, p_x] = \hbar[1 + 0(l^2)]etc. \quad (7)$$

Another way of looking at (7) is that there is a generalised Uncertainty Principle in operation at this scale ([15] - [20])

$$\Delta x \approx \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + l_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} \quad (8)$$

l_p being the Planck length.

The first term on the right side of equation (8) gives the usual Uncertainty relation, while the second term represents the duality effect - as we go down to the Planck scale we infact are lead to the larger scale represented by the second term (Cf.ref.[15, 21, 22]).

Before proceeding further, it may be remarked that the non commutative geometry in (7) is a manifestation of the non zero spatial extension of the strings[23].

We now come to a mechanism by which the Compton scale arises quite naturally in the above context. As was pointed out a long time ago by Hayakawa[24], the fluctuation in the mass of a typical elementary particle due to the fluctuation of the particle number, which is $\sim \sqrt{N}$, $N \sim 10^{80}$

being the number of elementary particles in the universe, is given by

$$\frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{c^2R}$$

Using now the Uncertainty Principle, we get,

$$(\Delta mc^2)T = \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{R}T = \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{c} \quad (9)$$

T being the age of the universe and R its radius which equals cT . It can be easily seen that the right side of (9) equals $\hbar$! That is, we have,

$$\hbar \approx \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{c} \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) immediately gives us the Compton wavelength. Interestingly a similar line of reasoning leads to a fluctuational model of cosmology, which explains the many so called Large Number coincidences as also Weinberg's apparently mysterious empirical relation between the pion mass and the Hubble Constant, from theory, and moreover predicts an ever expanding accelerating universe, as indeed has been repeatedly verified in the past few years[25, 26]. We now observe that $n \equiv \sqrt{N} \sim 10^{40}$. In the context of (8), let us consider a secondary fluctuation $\sim \sqrt{n}$ of Planck scale particles. Then the second term of (8) gives

$$\Delta x = l_p^2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n}m_p c}{\hbar} = l_p \sqrt{n}, \quad (11)$$

m_p being the Planck mass. The right side of (11) is precisely the Compton wavelength l_π of a typical elementary particle, viz., the pion. Immediately we recover therefrom two other consistent relations for the mass of the pion and its Compton time viz.,

$$m_\pi = \frac{m_p}{\sqrt{n}}, t_\pi = t_p \sqrt{n}$$

As mentioned after (8), this is the well known duality effect - as we go down to the Planck scale, we end up at the Compton scale.

Thus the QSS scale and the Compton scale are related by the duality relation. We finally make the following remark. In the light of the above considerations

leading to (9) and (11), it is interesting that we can consider the energy of a Planck mass to be the gravitational energy of the fluctuational $\sqrt{n}$ Planck masses within the Compton length l_π . That is, we should have,

$$\frac{G\sqrt{n}m_p^2}{l_\pi} \approx m_p c^2.$$

This is indeed so.

References

- [1] R.W. Kuhne, Mod.Phys.Lett.A, Vol.14, No.27, 1999, pp.1917-1922.
- [2] J.K. Webb, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 87 (9), 2001, pp.091301-1 ff.
- [3] J.K. Webb, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 82, 1999, pp884ff.
- [4] R.P. Kirshner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol.96, April 1999, pp.4224-4227.
- [5] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Th.Phys., 37 (4), 1998, p.1307ff.
- [6] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, 13 (15), 1998, p.2599ff.
- [7] B.G. Sidharth, "Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale", Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [8] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP Commemorative Issue, 1965, p.532-541.
- [9] S. Hayakawa, Prog.Theor.Phys., 1964, p.538.
- [10] S. Perlmutter, et al, Nature, Vol.391, 1 January 1998, p.51-59.
- [11] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics", McGraw Hill, New York, 1964, p.24.
- [12] G 't Hooft, "In Search of the ultimate building blocks", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, p.163.

- [13] Y. Ne'eman, in Proceedings of the First International Symposium, "Frontiers of Fundamental Physics", Eds. B.G. Sidharth and A. Burinskii, Universities Press, Hyderabad, 1999, pp.83ff.
- [14] B.G. Sidharth, "Gravitation and Electromagnetism", Nuovo Cimento, 116B (6), 2001, p.4ff.
- [15] W. Witten, Physics Today, April 1996, pp.24-30.
- [16] M. Maggiore, Phys.Rev.D 49 (10), 1994, pp.5182ff.
- [17] M. Maggiore, Phys.Lett.B, 319, 1993, pp.83-86.
- [18] A. Kempf in "From the Planck length to the Hubble radius", Ed. A. Zichichi, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000, pp.613ff.
- [19] B.G. Sidharth, "Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale", Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [20] S. Doplicher et al., Phys.Lett.B 331, 1994, pp.39-44.
- [21] B.G. Sidharth, Frontiers of Fundamental Physics 4, Plenum Publishers/Kluwer Academic, New York, 2001.
- [22] B.G. Sidharth, "A Note on duality and scale", Chaos, Solitons and Fractals (in press).
- [23] H.S. Snyder, Physical Review, Vol.72, No.1, July 1 1947, p.68-71.
- [24] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP Commemorative Issue, 1965, p.532-541. New York, 1972, p.62.
- [25] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, 13 (15), 1998, p.2599ff.
- [26] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Th.Phys., 37 (4), 1998, p.1307ff.